

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

JOHNNY RAY CHANDLER,	:	CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:13-CV-1211
Plaintiff	:	
	:	(Judge Conner)
v.	:	
	:	
DR. DANIELLE MINK, <i>et al.</i>,	:	
Defendants	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 28th day of May, 2013, upon consideration of plaintiff's recently filed Bivens¹ action (Doc. 1), in which he seeks to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. 5), and the court finding that the "three strikes" provision of the Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1996 ("PLRA"), codified at 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), prohibits him from proceeding in forma pauperis as he has had three prior actions or appeals dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or for failing to state a viable claim, see Ibrahim v. District of Columbia, 208 F.3d 1032 (D.C.Cir. 2000), *cert. denied*, 121 S.Ct. 2249 (June 11, 2001), and it being evident that there is no indication that plaintiff "is under imminent serious physical injury," 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) (setting forth the three

¹Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of the Fed. Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). Bivens stands for the proposition that "a citizen suffering a compensable injury to a constitutionally protected interest could invoke the general federal-question jurisdiction of the district courts to obtain an award of monetary damages against the responsible federal official." Butz v. Economou, 438 U.S. 478, 504 (1978).

Plaintiff alleges that he is being subjected to cruel and unusual punishment in violation of the Eighth Amendment in that defendants are denying him medical treatment for a diagnosed mental health condition known as "Erotomania." (Doc. 1, at 3.) The condition causes him to write inappropriate letters to female staff members which has led to the issuance of incident reports; his incident report record has resulted in the denial of parole on three or more occasions. (Id.)

strikes rule which provides that an inmate who has three prior actions or appeals dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or for failing to state a viable claim may not proceed in forma pauperis “unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury”), or that a threat of danger is real and proximate, Abdul-Akbar v. McKelvie, 239 F.3d 307, 312 (3d Cir. 2001) (en banc) (finding that the plaintiff must allege facts showing that he was in imminent danger at the time the complaint was filed and that allegations that he faced imminent danger in the past are insufficient to trigger the exception to section 1915(g); Lewis v. Sullivan, 279 F.3d 526, 531 (7th Cir. 2002) (concluding that the “imminent danger” exception is available “for genuine emergencies,” where “time is pressing” and “a threat . . . is real and proximate”)), and, therefore, his claim fails to meet the imminent danger exception to section 1915(g), it is hereby ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. 5) is DENIED.
2. Plaintiff's complaint (Doc. 1) is DISMISSED without prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).
3. The Clerk of Court is directed to VACATE the administrative order (Doc. 7) and NOTIFY the warden at the United States Penitentiary at Lewisburg.
4. The Clerk of Court is directed to CLOSE this case.
5. Any appeal from this order is DEEMED frivolous and not in good faith. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

S/ Christopher C. Conner
CHRISTOPHER C. CONNER
United States District Judge